



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of

C. Basso

Serial No.: 09/334,415

Group Art Unit: 2666

Filed: July 5, 2001

Examiner: M. Jagannathan

For: unknown title

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2003

Technology Center 2000

#### **COMMUNICATION**

Sir:

A Final Action was mailed February 14, 2003, in the above-identified application. After extensively checking our docketing system it has been determined that the Action has been mailed to us **incorrectly**. The undersigned is not attorney of record in this case. The customer number allotted to the above-identified application is the correct customer number for the undersigned but has been assigned to this application incorrectly.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that our customer number and address be removed from the case record and the Action re-mailed to the correct attorneys of record for this application. If at any time, it is deemed by the Examiner that this action was, in fact, correctly mailed, please contact the undersigned immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Whitham

Reg. No.: 32,635

Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340 Reston, Virginia 20190 703-787-9400



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
OIP	09/334,415	06/16/1999	CLAUDE BASSO	FR9-98-048	5316
	· ~	590 02/14/2003			
JUN 1 9		CURTIS & CHRISTOF	EXAMINER		
٠,	UITE 340	T HILLS ROAD		JAGANNATHA	N, MELANIE
& TRADE!	RESTON, VA	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2666	
		•		DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2003

Technology Center 2600

	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
JUN 1 9 200 ffice Action Summary	09/334,415	BASSO ET AL.
The solution summary	Examiner	Art Unit
THOUSE IN THE OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICA	Melanie Jagannathan	2666
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8.133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	<u>une 1999</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.		RECEIVED
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	JUN 2 3 2003
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		Technology Center 2600
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		Ved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	minor.	
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority drider 35 0.5.0. § 1 19(a)	(1) or (1).
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		nn No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	• •	<del></del>
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li></ul>		
Attachment(s)		·
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>.</li> </ol>		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application Number: 09/334,415

Art Unit: 2666



#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S. CHECKLY the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

JUN 2 3 2003

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Technology Center 2600

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3,5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hjalmtysson et al U.S. 6,128,305.

Regarding claims 1,5, the claimed source node and destination node are anticipated by calling station (Figure 9, element 901) and called station (Figure 9, element 910). The claimed initiation of connection procedure through call setup message sent by source node to destination node and destination node sending back an acknowledgment message to source node is anticipated by calling station sending setup message (Figure 9, SETUP) to called station and called station sending back acknowledgment message (Figure 9, ACK) to calling station.

Regarding claims **2**,**6**, the claimed sending of verification data stream to destination node after receiving acknowledgment message and sending of response data stream back after

Application Number: 09/334,415

Art Unit: 2666

receiving verification data stream to check characteristics of connection established between source node and destination node is anticipated by calling station sending quality of service message (Figure 9, QoS REQUEST) and called station sending back quality of service commit message (QoS COMMIT) back.

Regarding claim 3, the claimed asynchronous connection-oriented transmission network being ATM network is anticipated by establishment of connection in ATM network. See column 1, lines 16-20.

Regarding claim 7, the claimed check of end-to-end transit delay of connection is anticipated by connection setup for purposes of connectivity that minimizes end-to-end delay. See column 6, lines 28-48.

Regarding claim **8**, the claimed check bandwidth allocation is anticipated by QoS request and QoS commit messages sent to set up quality of service needed for connection which includes bandwidth allocation. See column 9, lines 40-67 and column 10, lines 1-9.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application Number: 09/334,415

Art Unit: 2666

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hjalmtysson et al. U.S. 6,128,305. Hjalmtysson et al. disclose all the limitations of the claim except for use of frame relay network.

Therefore, examiner takes official notice of the concept and the advantage of implementing the signaling system of Hjalmtysson et al. in a frame relay network. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a frame relay network. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this since the signaling system is adaptive to use with fixed length packets or with variable length packets.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hamaguchi U.S. 5,673,264 discloses subscribers establishing ATM connections in distribution system.

Eng et al. U.S. 5,757,783 disclose method and apparatus for routing ATM cells in an AD-ATM LAN.

Goldstein et al. U.S. 5,029,164.

Ohsawa U.S. 5,519,699.

Page 5

Application Number: 09/334,415

Art Unit: 2666

Bales et al. U.S. 5,574,724.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Jagannathan whose telephone number is 703-305-8078. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 703-308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Melanie Jagannathan Patent Examiner AU 2666

MJ

February 10, 2003

SEEMA S. RAO

2/10/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

		•						
			D-1449		Docket No: 112025	-0309	Serial No. 09	/334,415
	INF	ORM	ATION-DISCL	OSURE	Applicant: Claude I	Basso et al.		
3200	JUNL 1  RADE Ex.		<b>≥</b> 1		Filed: 6-16-99		Group 2731	
T.	PANE	MAKC			U. S. Patent Docu	ments		
	Ex.		Doc. No.	Date	Name	Class	Subcl.	Filed
	res	ļ. —	6,058,114		Sethuram et al.	370	397	5/20/96
	3		5,579,480	11/26/96	Cidon et al.	395	200.1	4/28/95
	3		5,673,263	9/30/97	Basso et al.	370	396	10/25/95
	OTP	E	C					
/	•	١.	<b>E</b>					
	11111	9 500	OFFICE OF	REC	EIVED		REC	CEIVED
\4	JUN EN 8 1		\$/ \$				JUN	<b>2 3</b> 2003
	W 8 1	ADEN	×	JUN 2 1	9000			
			, -	GROUP :	2700		Technolo	gy Center 2600
		<u> </u>						
					Foreign Patent Docu	ments	•	
1		<u> </u>		Date	Country	Class	Subcl.	Filed
					-	<del></del>		
						,	1	
		1						
		<b></b>						
		· ·						
			OTHE	R DOCUME	NTS (Including Auth	or, Title, Date,	Pages, Etc)	
ļ								
								•
	<u> </u>	ļ						
	E			<del></del>	<u>a</u>	Data Canaida	aradi - / /	
	Examiner: Molan Taganto Date Considered: 2/9/03					3		
			NER: Initial if	citation cons	idered, whether or not			
					formance and not cons	sidered. Include	e copy of this fo	orm with next
	communication to applicant.							

OIPE Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 09/334,415	Applicant(s)/ Reexaminati BASSO ET A	= : :
<u> </u>	Examiner	Art Unit	
JUN 1 9 2003 (E)	Melanie Jagannathan	2666	Page 1 of 1
. E	J.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		

		<i>&amp;</i> /		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	
*	LEVUE	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,128,305	10-2000	Hjalmtysson et al.	370/410
L.	В	US-5,673,264	09-1997	Hamaguchi, Naohisa	370/397
	С	US-5,757,783	05-1998	Eng et al.	370/315
	D	US-5,029,164	07-1991	Goldstein et al.	370/235
	Е	US-5,519,699	05-1996	Ohsawa, Tomoki	370/231
	F	US-5,574,724	11-1996	Bales et al.	370/410
	G	US-			
	Н	US-		RE	CEIVED
	I	US-		JU	N 2 3 2003
	J	US-			
	К	US-		Techno	ogy Center 2600
	L	US-			
	м	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	x	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



# 19 1 9 2003 E Form P10 5948 (Rev. 8-98)

## Best Available Copy

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Patent and Trademark Office Application No.

334415

## NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PROBLEM OF DRAFTSPERSON SHOW OF THE PROBLEM OF THE PROBL

JUN 2 3 2003 L Correction of Informalities-37 CFR L85 The drawing(s) filed (insert date) Technology Center 2600 approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 17152. objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new corrected with me and the back of this holds in the back of the back of this holds in the back of this holds in the back of the back o inventor's name, docket number (if any), and the name and telephone number of a person to call if the Office is unable to rowshaferetewateriornalmanna application. Signida resubstication lighter are repealward. dre notifield the new drawings of the property of the new drawings introduced that the new drawings in 2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84 (b) crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in 1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s) Photographs not properly mounted (must use brystol board or 10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS. Fig(s) photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s)\_ Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s) 37 CFR 1.84(i) TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e) with the strong white, and durables in inthin a concern of the strong white, and black (poor line quality).

If a concern of the strong white and black (poor line quality).

If a concern of the strong white and black (poor line quality).

If a concern of the strong white and black (poor line quality).

If a concern of the strong white and black (poor line quality). Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interintentions, or the property of the p .91d NOG # 11970; Shade lines, pale, roughand blurred ! Fig(s)) de trustique SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes: 12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4) 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8,1/2 x 11 inches)

21.1 All drawing sheets not the same size. Husen live voited (robust as 37 CFR 1.84(p)
10) Numbers and reference characters not plain and legibles [16] 4 Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_ Figure legends are poor. Fig(s) Sheet(s) Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins: 3. Court of Old in the Court of Delta Court of Delt English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2) SIZE: A4 Size All changes to the change in the change in the changes to the Diewigno through the changes to the change the change to the change the change to the change correction to reoperate the correction approved the proposed to the proposed t Top (T) Right (R) Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h) Lead lines missing: Fig(s) REMINDER: Specification may require revision to 14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(1) correspond to drawing changes Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2) beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) Brackets needed to show figure as one entity. 15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u) Views not numbered consecutively; and in Arabic numerals, Views not labeled separately or properly. beginning with number 1. Fig(s) Fig(s) 16. CORRECTIONS: 37 CFR: 1.84(w) Enlarged view not labeled separetely or properly. Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 dated SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3) 17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152 Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object. Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) Solid black shading not used for color contrast. Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Roman numbers. Fig(s) COMMENTS

REVIEWER\_\_\_\_

\_ DATE() 6 99

TELEPHONE NO.

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO

### 339415

## **Best Available Copy**

JU!! 1 9 2003 \$2

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-Patent and Trademark Office Application No.

### REPORT OF THE STATE OF THE STAT

JUN 2 3 2003 1. Correction of Informalities--37 CFR 1.85 A. CB approved by the Diameterson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

B. C Objected to by the Draftsperadit under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require Technology Center 2600 File new drawings with the changes incorporated therein. The application number or the fille of the live into his inventor's name, docket number (if any), and the name and telephone number of a person to call if the Office is unable to match the drawings to the proper application, should be placed on the back of each sheet of drawings in accordance with 37/CFR 4.84(c). Applicant may delay filing of the new drawings until receipt of the Notice of Allowability (PTOL - The Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136. The drawing should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Drawing 2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR (1.84 (b) Processing Branch who should be shou I full-tone set is required. Fig(s) Photographs not properly mounted (must use brystol board photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s) CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS & LETTO, gnimiT. 2 Poor quality (half-tune). Fig(5) 3. TYPE OF PAPER, 37 OFR 1.84(e) Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the three-month shortened statutory period set in the Notice of Allowability PTOL31), If a correction is determined to be unacceptable by the Office, applicant must arrange to have acceptable corrections resubmitted within the original three month period to avoid the necessity of obtaining an extension of time and paying the extension fee "Therefore; SIZE OF PAPER, 37 CFR 1,84(f): Acceptable sizes: applicant should file corrected drawings as soon a possible. 12. NUMBENS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4) Failure ito take corrective action within set (or extended) period will result in a BANDONMENT of the \_\_ (a) <u>a</u> [ Application. Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) Figure leger (is are poor. Fig(s) MAICGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): 'Acceptable margins: eference characters not oriented in the 3. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by the Drawing Review Branch on the Form PTO-948 English alphabet not used: 37 CFR 1,84(p)(2) All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Drawing Review Branch MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changesi (p)+8.1 97072 23014 (ABJ. E1 VIEWS 37 CFR 1.84(h) Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) REMINDER: Specification may require revision to Lead lines missing. Fig(s) correspond to drawing changes. 14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS, 37 CFR 1.84(1) Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2) Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals Brackets needed to show figure as one entity. beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) IS. NUMBERING OF VIEWS, 37 CFR 1.84(u) Views not labeled suparately or properly." Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic m beginning with number 1. Fig(s) Enlarged view not labeled separetely or properly. 16. CORRECTIONS: 37 CFR 1.84(w) Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CPR 1,84 (h)(3) Harching not indicated for sectional portions of an object 17. DESIGN DRAWINGS: 37 CFR 1.152 Fig(s) Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Solid black shading not used for color contrast: Roman numbers. Fig(s) COMMENTS ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO.